Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/980,068	GIBBON ET AL.
	Examiner	. Art Unit
	Trang U. Tran	2622
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Trang U. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael J. Turton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 February 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None		
Claims discussed:		
1-11, 12-15, 19 and 32-36	•	
Prior art documents discussed: None	•	
Part II.		,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
In claim 12, line 12, the phrase "the first polarizing beam splitter the withdrawn claims 1-11, 13-15, 19 and 32-36 have been can		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)